EDUCATION SECRETARIAT

No. 2754—*Edn.* 4-43-65, *dated* 29*th November* 1944.

Under Section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act No. VII of 1894, it is hereby declared that the lands described hereunder are required for a public purpose, *viz.*, Play ground for Madhugiri High School and Middle School Boys; and under Sections 7 and 3 (c) of the said Act, the Assistant Commissioner in charge of the Madhugiri Sub-Division, is authorised to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

TUMKUR DISTRICT, MADHUGIRI TALUK, MADHUGIRI KASABA HOBLI, PANNE VILLAGE.

Names of khatedars and anubhavadars.	Survey Nos. or Mi. No.	Whether Dry, wet, garden or vacant site	Total extent	Kharab	Remaining extent	Assessment	Extent now required	
							Extent	Assessment
			A. g.	A. g.	A. g.	Rs. a. p.	A. g.	Rs. a. p.
Mr. A. R. Nageswar Iyer ...	35	Wet ...	3 37	0 3	3 34	23 0 0	3 26	22 12 10 (a)
M. Lingappa bin Puttahariyappa, Nanjundappa, son of M. G. Gundappa, Narasingh bin Jotappa, joint khatedars.	36	Do ...	3 0	...	3 0	17 0 0	3 0	17 0 0 (b)
(1) Kondappa bin Yeeranna, (2) Nanjunda bin Yeeranna, (3) Somelingappa bin Puttananjappa, joint khatedars.	42	Do ...	4 6	0 1	4 5	24 0 0	4 5	24 0 0 (c)

Boundaries.—

	East	West	North	South
(a)	Survey No. 36 ...	Remaining extent reserved for drainage.	Survey No. 37 ...	Pavagada High road drainage.
(b)	Compound of High School.	Survey No. 35 ...	Do 37 ...	Do
(c)	Survey No. 43 ...	High School compound.	Madhugiri gadi ...	Survey Nos. 29, 33

3783

No. E. 2920—*Edn.* 4-44-40, *dated* 8*th December* 1944.

Under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, as amended from time to time, it is hereby notified that the property described hereunder is required for a public purpose, *viz.*, Nursari School.

Under Section 5-A, it is directed that any person interested in the said property may, within 30 days after the issue of this notification, prefer objections, if any thereto, to the Deputy Commissioner, in writing after which time no objections will be heard.

A plan of the property will be available for inspection in the Office of the Chamarajanagar Taluk Office.

MYSORE DISTRICT, CHAMARAJNAGAR TALUK, SANTHEMARAHALLI HOBLI, KASTUR VILLAGE.

Name of khatedar or owner	Anubhavadar (if any) or other person interested in the property	Survey No. or Mi. No.	Whether dry, wet or garden, etc.	Total extent	Kharab	Extent now required	
						Extent	Assessment
				A. g.	A. g.	A. g.	Rs. a.
Samuel Zaman	... Ganigara Madiah	... 219—1	Dry ...	3 28	...	0 5½	0 5

Boundaries.—

East	West	North	South
Survey No. 218.	Survey. No. 219—1.	Survey No. 219—1.	Village site.

3932

By Order,
N. S. HIRANNAYYA,
Secretary to Government,
Education Department.

GENERAL SECRETARIAT.

Notification No. S. R. 2517—L.W. 54-44-7, dated 18th December 1944.

In accordance with Section 12 (4) of the Mysore Labour Act No. XIII of 1942 as amended from time to time, the Government of His Highness the Maharaja are pleased to publish for general information the accompanying Report of the Chief Conciliator in Mysore, in the matter of the industrial dispute between the Management of the Gaffar Beedi Factory, Mysore and the Labour Association of the said concern.

By Order,
K. SUBBA RAO,
Secretary to Government,
General Department.

Copy of letter No. C. C. 19—44, dated 11th December 1944, from the Chief Conciliator in Mysore, Bangalore and of its enclosures :—

I have the honour to make the following report under Section 12 (4) of the Mysore Labour Act, 1942 relating to a dispute between the Management and the Registered Labour Association of the Gaffar Beedi Factory, Mysore, on the question of reinstatement of Mohamed Khan, a dismissed worker.

2. The notice of change in the above case was ...ised by the Gaffar Beedi Factory, Mysore on 1st October 1944, a copy of which is appended. The Assistant Commissioner of Labour Bhadravati Division, having failed in his attempts to bring about an agreement between the parties, the case was referred by the Commissioner of Labour in Mysore, Bangalore, under cover of his letter dated 25th October 1944, for instituting conciliation proceedings. The case was taken on file and posted for hearing on 29th November 1944 at Mysore.

3. On that date both the parties being present conciliation proceedings were initiated. The Labour Representative contended that when Mohamed Khan, the Secretary of Labour Association approached the Management on the morning of 21st September 1944 to represent the grievances of the workers he was dismissed, without any just cause being shown and that he should therefore be reinstated. The Management contended that the dismissed worker used a very contemptuous term to the Management in the presence of all other workers, meaning thereby that he had a hatred to work in their factory and that therefore he was dismissed. The labour Representatives further contended that the word "NEPHRUT" was not meant to bring the Management into contempt; but on the other hand he (Mahomed Khan) meant that discontentment would spread among the workers if the attitude of the Management was not changed. They therefore demanded not only the reinstatement of the dismissed worker but also com-